

Numerical Relativity - PHY 6938

Solutions to HW 6

1. This problem is actually quite lengthy. Here we give only the outline of a straightforward brute force attack.

Start with

$$R_{ab} = \partial_c \Gamma_{ab}^c - \partial_a \Gamma_{cb}^c + \Gamma_{ab}^c \Gamma_{cd}^d - \Gamma_{db}^c \Gamma_{ca}^d$$

rewrite in terms of Γ_{abc} :

$$R_{ab} = \partial_c (g^{ce} \Gamma_{cab}) - \partial_a (g^{ce} \Gamma_{acb}) + g^{ce} \Gamma_{cab} g^{df} \Gamma_{fcd} - g^{ce} \Gamma_{acb} g^{df} \Gamma_{fca}$$

If we insert the definition of Γ_{abc} , we get lots of terms with first and second derivatives of the metric g_{ab} , but we also have derivatives of the inverse metric of the form $\partial_a g^{ce}$ from the first 2 terms in R_{ab} . Convert these terms into derivatives of the metric using

$$\partial_a g^{bc} = -g^{bd} g^{ce} \partial_a g_{de}.$$

After this we have only the inverse metric g^{ce} and first and second derivatives of the metric g_{de} in R_{ab} .

Now use the same approach on the equation

$$R'_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{cd} \partial_c \partial_d g_{ab} + \nabla_{(a} \Gamma_{b)} + g^{cd} g^{ef} (\partial_e g_{ca} \partial_f g_{db} - \Gamma_{ace} \Gamma_{bdf})$$

as given in the problem. Again we obtain an expression in terms of the inverse metric g^{ce} and first and second derivatives of the metric g_{de} in R'_{ab} . If we subtract R_{ab} from R'_{ab} and rename some dummy indices we obtain zero. This proves that the expression R'_{ab} is indeed the Ricci tensor.

$$2. \square x^\alpha = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu x^\alpha.$$

$$a) \square x^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_\mu \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\alpha}$$

$$b) \square x^\alpha = g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \nabla_\nu x^\alpha - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \nabla_\lambda x^\alpha) = g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \delta_\nu^\alpha - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \delta_\lambda^\alpha) = -g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = -\Gamma^\alpha$$

$$c) \text{ Note that } \nabla^\mu n_\mu = \nabla^\mu (-\alpha \nabla_\mu t) = -\alpha \nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu t - (\nabla^\mu \alpha) \nabla_\mu t = n_\nu \square x^\nu + (n^\mu \nabla_\mu \alpha) / \alpha$$

We then find

$$(\mathcal{L}_t - \mathcal{L}_\beta) \alpha = -\alpha^2 (n_\mu \square x^\mu + K),$$

where $n_\mu \square x^\mu = -\alpha \square x^t$

$$d) \text{ First note that for any scalar function } f: \gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu f = \gamma^{\mu\nu} g_\mu^{\mu'} \nabla_{\mu'} (g_\nu^{\nu'} \nabla_{\nu'} f) = \gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\mu^{\mu'} \nabla_{\mu'} ([\gamma_\nu^{\nu'} - n_\nu n^{\nu'}] \nabla_{\nu'} f) = \gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\mu^{\mu'} [\nabla_{\mu'} (\gamma_\nu^{\nu'} \nabla_{\nu'} f) - \nabla_{\mu'} (n_\nu n^{\nu'} \nabla_{\nu'} f)] = \gamma^{\mu\nu} [D_\mu D_\nu f - \gamma_\mu^{\mu'} \nabla_{\mu'} (n_\nu n^{\nu'}) (\nabla_{\nu'} f) - 0] = \gamma^{\mu\nu} [D_\mu D_\nu f - \gamma_\mu^{\mu'} ((\nabla_{\mu'} n_\nu) n^{\nu'} + 0) (\nabla_{\nu'} f)] = \gamma^{\mu\nu} D_\mu D_\nu f - \gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\mu^{\mu'} (\nabla_{\mu'} n_\nu) n^{\nu'} (\nabla_{\nu'} f) = \gamma^{\mu\nu} D_\mu D_\nu f + K n^{\nu'} \nabla_{\nu'} f = \gamma^{kl} D_k D_l f + K n^\nu \nabla_\nu f.$$

$$\text{For } f = x^i \text{ we thus get: } \gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu x^i = \gamma^{kl} D_l \partial_k x^i + K n^\nu \nabla_\nu x^i = \gamma^{kl} (\partial_l \partial_k x^i - {}^{(3)}\Gamma_{kl}^j \partial_j x^i) + K n^\nu \nabla_\nu x^i = \gamma^{kl} (\partial_l \delta_k^i - {}^{(3)}\Gamma_{kl}^j \delta_j^i) + K n^\nu \nabla_\nu x^i = -\gamma^{kl} {}^{(3)}\Gamma_{kl}^i + K n^\nu \nabla_\nu x^i = -{}^{(3)}\Gamma^i + K n^\nu \nabla_\nu x^i.$$

$$\text{Also } n^\mu n^\nu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu x^i = n^\mu \nabla_\mu (n^\nu \nabla_\nu x^i) - (n^\mu \nabla_\mu n^\nu) \nabla_\nu x^i, \text{ where } n^\mu \nabla_\mu n^\nu = D^\nu \ln \alpha.$$

Now note that $n^\nu \nabla_\nu x^i$ is a scalar with the value $n^\nu \nabla_\nu x^i = n^\nu \delta_\nu^i = n^i = -\beta^i/\alpha$. Thus $n^\mu \nabla_\mu (n^\nu \nabla_\nu x^i) = n^\mu \partial_\mu (n^i) = n^0 \partial_t n^i + n^k \partial_k n^i = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2} \partial_t \beta^i + \frac{\beta^i}{\alpha^3} \partial_t \alpha - \frac{\beta^k}{\alpha} (-\frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_k \beta^i + \frac{\beta^i}{\alpha^2} \partial_k \alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} (-\partial_t \beta^i + \beta^i \partial_t \ln \alpha + \beta^k \partial_k \beta^i - \beta^k \beta^i \partial_k \ln \alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} (-\partial_t \beta^i + \beta^k \partial_k \beta^i) + \frac{\beta^i}{\alpha^2} (\partial_t \ln \alpha - \beta^k \partial_k \ln \alpha) = \frac{\beta^i}{\alpha^2} d_0 \ln \alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha^3} d_0 \beta^i$ where $d_0 := \partial_t - \beta^k \partial_k$.

Putting all this together $n^\mu n^\nu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu x^i = \frac{\beta^i}{\alpha^3} (d_0 \alpha) - \frac{1}{\alpha^2} d_0 \beta^i - D^i \ln \alpha$, so finally $\square x^i = -^{(3)}\Gamma^i + K n^\nu \nabla_\nu x^i - \frac{\beta^i}{\alpha^3} d_0 \alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} d_0 \beta^i + D^i \ln \alpha$, which we rewrite as

$$d_0 \beta^i = \alpha^2 (\square x^i + ^{(3)}\Gamma^i + K \beta^i / \alpha) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \beta^i d_0 \alpha - \alpha D^i \alpha$$

Note that $\gamma_\mu^i \square x^\mu = (g_\mu^i + n^i n_\mu) \square x^\mu = \square x^i + n^i n_\mu \square x^\mu$. Thus using $n_\mu \square x^\mu = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2} (\mathcal{L}_t - \mathcal{L}_\beta) \alpha - K$ from 2.c) we find

$$\gamma_\mu^i \square x^\mu = \square x^i + (\beta^i / \alpha) (\frac{1}{\alpha^2} d_0 \alpha + K) = \square x^i + K \beta^i / \alpha + \frac{\beta^i}{\alpha^3} d_0 \alpha$$

Thus $d_0 \beta^i = \alpha^2 (\gamma_\mu^i \square x^\mu - \frac{\beta^i}{\alpha^3} d_0 \alpha + ^{(3)}\Gamma^i) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \beta^i d_0 \alpha - \alpha D^i \alpha = \alpha^2 (\gamma_\mu^i \square x^\mu + ^{(3)}\Gamma^i) - \alpha D^i \alpha$.

We now rewrite $^{(3)}\Gamma^i = \gamma^{kl} ^{(3)}\Gamma_{kl}^i = \gamma^{kl} \gamma^{ij} ^{(3)}\Gamma_{jkl} = \gamma^{kl} \gamma^{ij} \Gamma_{jkl} = \gamma^{i\rho} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\rho\mu\nu}$ which holds because $^{(3)}\Gamma_{jkl} = \Gamma_{jkl}$, which in turn is true because $\gamma_{kl} = g_{kl}$. So finally we get:

$$(\partial_t - \beta^k \partial_k) \beta^i = \alpha^2 (\gamma_\nu^i \square x^\nu + \gamma^{ij} \gamma^{kl} \Gamma_{jkl}) - \alpha \gamma^{ij} \partial_j \alpha$$

3. Generalized Harmonic formulation:

Choose coordinates such that

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \square x^\beta = H_\alpha,$$

where $H_\alpha = H_\alpha(t, x^i, g_{\mu\nu})$ are functions that can be freely chosen.

a) Using our results from 1. & 2. we find:

$$(\partial_t - \beta^k \partial_k) \alpha = -\alpha (H_t - \beta^i H_i + \alpha K)$$

$$(\partial_t - \beta^k \partial_k) \beta^i = \alpha \gamma^{ij} [\alpha (H_j + \gamma^{kl} \Gamma_{jkl}) - \partial_j \alpha]$$

b) The Einstein equations are

$$-\frac{1}{2} g^{cd} \partial_c \partial_d g_{ab} - \nabla_{(a} H_{b)} + g^{cd} g^{ef} (\partial_e g_{ca} \partial_f g_{db} - \Gamma_{ace} \Gamma_{bdf}) = 8\pi (T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} T)$$

where we have the constraint

$$\Gamma_\alpha = -H_\alpha.$$

c) We choose coordinates by specifying H_α . The resulting system of equations is manifestly symmetric hyperbolic because it has the same principal terms (highest derivative terms) as a wave equation for each metric component g_{ab} . This is important, because it implies that the system is well-posed.